

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/058,543	AL-KOFAHI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
John B. Strege	2625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John B. Strege.

(3) _____.

(2) Jacques Etkowicz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24,26-28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BHAVESH M. MEHTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEC - 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated the interview to discuss the 112 and 103 problems related to the new claims 26-28 as well as in the amended claim 24. The Applicant's attorney agreed to cancel claims 26-28 and amend claim 24 to delete the problematic parts so that the case can be allowed. .